

# Supreme Court of Florida

FRIDAY, JUNE 20, 2014

CASE NO.: SC14-919

Lower Tribunal No(s): 2D14-1511;

00-5682-CI-78

KENNAN G. DANDAR, ET AL.

vs

CHURCH OF SCIENTOLOGY  
FLAG SERVICE ORG.

---

Petitioner(s)

Respondent(s)

Respondent's Amended Motion to Determine Confidentiality of Petitioner's and Respondent's Appendices, filed on June 12, 2014, is hereby granted. Unless otherwise ordered by this Court, the below listed documents shall remain sealed and may be viewed only by the below listed individuals. As required by Florida Rule of Judicial Administration 2.420(g)(3) and 2.420(e)(3)(A)-(H), the Court finds:

(A) The instant case is an original proceeding seeking a writ of prohibition in a noncriminal context.

(B) The below listed documents are confidential based on the trial court's December 15, 2006, order determining that confidentiality is required to prevent a serious and imminent threat to the fair, impartial, and orderly administration of justice. See Fla. R. Jud. Admin. 2.420(c)(9)(A)(i).

(C) The identity of the parties in this case is not confidential.

(D) The docket of this case is not confidential.

(E) The following documents are confidential:

1. Defendant's Confidential Motion to Enforce Settlement Agreement, to Recover Liquidated Damages and for Attorneys' Fees and Sanctions, and Motion for In Camera Hearing, dated November 2, 2004.

2. Confidential Motion to Compel Compliance with Court's Ruling of December 2, 2004, for an Award of Attorney Fees and for an Order to Show Cause Why Plaintiff and Her Counsel Should Not Be Held in Contempt, dated February 15, 2005.

3. Confidential Order Enforcing Settlement Agreement, dated July 6, 2005.

4. Plaintiff's Confidential Motion for Rehearing or Reconsideration of July 6, 2005 Order and Motion for Order to Show Cause, or in the alternative, Motion for Sanctions for Breach of Mediation Agreement, dated July 18, 2005.

5. Confidential Order Denying Plaintiff's Motion for Rehearing or Reconsideration of July 6, 2005 Order, dated October 5, 2006.

6. Confidential Order Enforcing Settlement Agreement, dated February 27, 2007.

7. Defendant's Confidential Motion to Enforce Settlement Agreement, to Recover Damages and for Sanctions and Attorneys' Fees, and Motion for In Camera Hearing, dated March 20, 2009.

8. Order on Enforcement of Settlement Agreement, dated June 10, 2009.

9. Defendant Church's Motion to Enforce Order Enforcing Settlement Agreement by Civil Contempt and Civil Fines, dated January 5, 2010.

10. Dandar's Response to Scientology's Motion for Contempt and Dandar's Motion to Void the Mediation Agreement due to Scientology's Continuous Bad Faith Breaches of the Mediation Agreement, dated January 28, 2010.

11. Order on Motion to Set Aside Mediation Agreement, dated February 19, 2010.

12. Notice of Lack of Subject Matter Jurisdiction, dated March 16, 2010.

13. Order Finding Kennan Dandar in Willful Contempt of Court and Imposing Civil Penalties, dated April 12, 2010.

14. Order Setting Discovery Deadline; Setting Final Hearing; Directing Plaintiff to File Written Motion and Memorandum on Possible Jury Trial; Denying in Part/Denied without Prejudice in Part Plaintiff's "Motion for Declaration of Entitlement to Attorney Fees and Costs Since 2005, Dismissal, and Sanctions for Dishonesty on the Court by Scientology and its Council"; Order on Defendant's Entitlement to "Attorney's Fees, Damages, and for Other Relief," dated July 16, 2012.

15. Order Clarifying July 16, 2012 Order on Defendant's Entitlement to "Attorney's Fees, Damages, and for Other Relief," dated August 10, 2012.

16. Order Denying Kennan G. Dandar and Dandar & Dandar, P.A.'s Motion to Stay, dated March 24, 2014.

17. Notice to Parties, dated March 7, 2014.

(F) The Petitioner, the Respondent, the attorneys of record in this case or their agents, and necessary court personnel are permitted to view the sealed items.

(G) The degree, duration, and manner of confidentiality ordered are no broader than necessary to protect the interests set forth Florida Rule of Judicial Administration 2.420(c); and no less restrictive measures are available to protect the interests set forth in rule 2.420(c).


CASE NO.: SC14-919

Page Four

(H) The Clerk of this Court is directed to publish this order in accordance with Florida Rule of Judicial Administration 2.420(g)(4).

A True Copy

Test:



---

John A. Tomasino  
Clerk, Supreme Court



eg

Served:

THOMAS JOHN DANDAR  
FRED WALLACE POPE, JR.  
ROBERT V. POTTER, JR.  
HON. KEN BURKE, CLERK  
HON. JAMES R. BIRKHOLO, CLERK